



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

ARLENE J. POWERS  
GAUTHER & CONNORS LLP  
225 FRANKLIN STREET  
SUITE 3300  
BOSTON, MA 02110

**COPY MAILED**

MAR 06 2006

In re Application of :  
John Franklin Hayhurst :  
Application No. 10/713,458 :  
Filed: November 14, 2003 :  
Attorney Docket No. 7380

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.181 filed on January 30, 2006, to withdraw the holding of abandonment in the above-cited application.

The renewed petition is **DISMISSED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply to the non-final Office action mailed October 13, 2004, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three-months from its mailing date. No response was received within the allowable period, and the application became abandoned on January 14, 2005. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on May 24, 2005. A petition under 37 CFR 1.181 was filed on July 14, 2005, and dismissed by a decision mailed January 17, 2006.

Petitioner argues that a reply the non-final Office action was mailed on February 13, 2005, and received by the Office. As evidence of the same, petitioner provides a copy of an Office date-stamp postcard acknowledging receipt of an amendment and request for an extension of time within the first month. The postcard is date-stamped February 16, 2005. Petitioner also provided a copy of an "Amendment Transmittal Form" with a certificate of mailing dated February 14, 2005. It is noted that the "Amendment Transmittal Form is for application 10/445,785, however.

The evidence petitioner provides establishes that an amendment and request for extension of time within the first month were received by the Office, but does not establish that the amendment was timely received so as to merit withdrawal of the holding of abandonment. In order for the amendment to be considered timely under the facts presented, the amendment would either have to have been received by midnight on February 14, 2005 (as evidenced by an Office date-stamped postcard), or the amendment must contain a certificate of mailing under 37 CFR 1.8 dated prior to, or on, February 14, 2005. No certificate of mailing under 37 CFR 1.8 for an amendment filed for application 10/713,458 was found on the amendment papers. The evidence presented does not allow the undersigned to conclude that the amendment was timely received, only that the amendment was received. Petitioner must, however, establish that the amendment was timely received in order to merit withdrawal of the holding of abandonment.

Based on the aforementioned, the petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to withdraw the holding of abandonment is dismissed. If petitioner chooses to file a second renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.181(a) with an appropriate certificate of mailing, petitioner must be sure to provide a the statement of personal knowledge regarding the mailing of the amendment as indicated by the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 711.03(c).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile: (571) 273-8300  
Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned (571) 272-3222.

Kenya A. McFayden

Kenya A. McLaughlin  
Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions